Mr. Donald Quigley
517 Carrolton Drive
Frederick, Md. 21701

11/20/91

Dear Mr. Quigley,

We are glad you wrote! We both regarded your father as a friend and we enjoyed his visits when he was nearby. (We then lived near Hyattstown.) We've had recent occasion to recall those days. Each of us has a favorite story. As you say, our paths have never crossed. Perhaps we'd enjoy it if they did. Which I propose.

We've become friends with the owners of Hana's Oriental Restaurant. It is behind Delphey's if you've never been there. We also believe they offer the best buys in town and are there fairly often, almost always for early Saturday night dinner. This week we won't be because old friends are coming. Sometimes we lunch there.

I say "early" because I cannot avoid waking quite early and have to retire early as a result. Following open-heart surgery this cannot be soon after eating. (This typing is because as the consequence of other surgery and its complication I have to keep my legs elevated, with the typewriter to the side.)

So, if you'd like, give us a call and we can meet there. 473-8186.

Or, not intending either/or, if you'd like to see what I've done since our farming was ruined by the helicopters you remember, I've about 60 file cabinets plus innumerable boxes of official records relating the the John Kennedy and King assassinations.

The greater tragedy is that Roy Meachum does not embarrass himself. Or George Delaplaine. George has the sick notion that Meachum gives his papers class. Even Betty, I'm told, has tried and failed to get him to fire Meachum. George has had many, many people who would ordinarily be heeded by a self-respective publisher protest Meachum's columns but he is impervious.

I hope you did not miss the lecture to Meachum by a schoolboy, who was 100% correct.

Apparently you do not recall that until I took after him he had daily columns.

Your father was a fine man who laughed easily and made others laugh often, too.

My only recollection of my speech at Laurel is that in taking back-roads "short-cut" I wasted much time.

We did establish a new principle of law in the first helicopter suit, of the property owner's ownership of the air space to the degree required for him to enjoy his Constitutional right. A second suit, settled out of court, got us out of debt.

As of my last knowledge this precedent had not been overturned in court or by any legisation.

Best wishes,

Harold Weisberg

Harold Weisberg